ROUTING	AND RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)		SEC
FROM:	EXTENSION	NO.
C/PhySD		DATE
TO. (05 doi: 1		
building)  RECEIVED FOR	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Drow a line across column ofter each comment
1. OLC Attn:	78 (1)	/-2 This is one of four security
2. Acting Legislative Counsel	J. Wh	violations which have occurred thus far in May in the DCI Area.
3.		This is an exceptionally high rate of violations. If I can be of assistance in reviewing securi
4.		practices in your area, please call.
5.		
6.		DCI Area Security Office
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
2.		
13.		
14.		
5.		

ΑТ

17 MAY 1978

MEMORANDUM FO	R: Legislative Counsel
FROM:	Chiet, Physical Security Division, OS
SUBJECT:	Security Violation Briefcase/Package Inspection, 25 April 1978
REFERENCE:	Memo dtd 4 May 78 for C/PhySD from AD/LC, same subject
conducted on a cited for tranquarters Build	result of the briefcase/package inspection 25 April 1978, of your Office was asporting classified material from the Head-ding which was not properly wrapped and marked with established regulatory procedures.
reviewed and	incident, together with your response, has been it is the opinion of this Office that the occured constitutes a valid violation of referenced ad procedures.
there may be s it is apparent the lack of co respond to thi Office of the	the Office of Security can appreciate that some doubt as to full culpability, that several other individuals contributed to expect transmittal procedures in their haste to is priority requirement. In this respect, the Legislative Counsel is being assessed the for this violation in lieu of any one indi-

ST

## Approved For Release 2004/04/01 : CIA-RDP81M00980R003300130072-3

4 May 1978

OLC: 78-1796

STAT	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Physical Security Division, OS
ΛT	FROM:	Acting Deputy Legislative Counsel
STAT	SUBJECT:	Briefcase/Package Inspection
	REFERENCE:	Your memo, dated 27 April 1978, same subject
STAT	last minute substitute, case had been packed	Office of Legislative Counsel, advises that, ed in reference, he had been requested, as a to carry the briefcase to Capitol Hill. The the evening before by another OLC officer, who iver it the following morning.
STAT	request from Congress was require following morning for	was being delivered in response to an emergency s, received around 8:00 p.m. the evening beforeed to pick up the briefcase at 6:45 a.m. the delivery. He was not specifically aware of the se, nor was he aware that the material therein wrapped.
STAT	of the exceptional time	ets are considered relevant to this case. Because requirements and the resulting lack of knowledge regarding the contents of the briefcase, there his culpability in this affair. Your consideration eciated.
		· Jose
ΑT	cc: AO/DCI	

ST

ST